App. F. IX-4

1926.7

File No. 89-26

Previous References
9 M -51-21 (1-)) (G.G.)

Serial Nos. 1-4

Later References
G.G. 85-27-(1-4)
G.G. 55-31,11-6
G.G. Weh 80-36

Present

General and Revenue Secretariat.

G.G. 38-38 (1-6, 13)

Serial Nos. 70W

Part B for July 27

SUBJECT

Exemption from fumigation of mulberry plants at the ports of entrance in India

D 14 & 1.7.27

The superintendent of [illegible] is that he may take needful action as proposed by him to avoid damage to plants

[illegible]

(1)

447

G. R. S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

D. 6427
G.G. 89-26-1

Letter no. 3299 dated the 5th April 1927. from the Superintendent G.G. forwarding copy of a note presented by him to Sir Charles Todhunter re exemption from fumigation of imported plants at the ports of entrance, and requesting that the question may be reopened.

File no. G.M. 51-21-7 relating to the subject is sent herewith for perusal and orders.

dig. 8.4.27

2 Please put up a copy of the Govt of India Destructive Insects & Pests Act.

MP 12/4

3 Act II of 1914 is herewith.

dig. 12.4.27

4 Submitted for perusal & orders.

Sub D) MP 19/4.

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

9/4

6427 (2

G. R. S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

5. The proper place for disinfection is undoubtedly the port of entry, and it is extremely unlikely that an exemption from fumigation will be allowed. The plants, in the cases mentioned by the Japanese observers suffered from rough handling before & after the fumigation. The steaming. When large consignments are expected it may be advantageous to send some officer or officers there to see that our plants are not mishandled. I do not think that reopening the question would be much use

WF 26/4/27

6 Please ascertain if this cannot be managed

CBB WF 30/4 WF 30/4/27

OFFICE OF THE THIRD MEMBER OF COUNCIL. 27 APR. 1927 No. 2781

(3rd Member) WF 26/4/27 WP 27/4

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

Trouble pending 8 DB 2.5.27 Issue WP 5/5

6427 762

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

G.G. File No. 89 of 1926

Serial No. 2.

No 9783/ 7th May 1927

To The Supdt: Govt Gardens

States that the plants must have probably suffered from rough handling before and after fumigation and enquires if such difficulties cannot be overcome by sending some officer or official in the case of large Consignments.

1 To the E. conservancy Section for note and return.
16.10.5.27.

10 A copy of the letter is taken & the file returned.
16/5/27

Letter No. S.R. 34878 18th May 1927 from the Supdt. G.G. Stating that damage to imported plants can be considerably mitigated by sending some officer or official to receive the plants at the pier.

11. Submd for perusal with refce. to para 6. The Supdt. may perhaps be asked to take action accordingly to avoid damage to plants.
16.21.5.27

Since Sir Charles Todhunter is interested in this question, it is for orders whether this file may not be sent to him for information
16.2.6.27 (Vide fl:1 flagged 2).

for orders
7/6 12782 Secy D) 4/6
(S/ 7/6 Member) 6.6.27

12/6, when there is occasion.

The Dewan does not consider it necessary to send this file to Sir Charles Todhunter

Submit to Sir Charles Todhunter
23.5.27

Please Dewan
Dewan 2/6 2.6.27

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPB—5,000—30-3-27

7562

General and Revenue Secretariat. G.S. File No. 89 of 192 6.

Serial No.	
	Sent with a draft.
	W.D. 27.6.27.
	WP
	28/6
4	To
	The Supdt-GS
	No D 17 of 1.7.27
	With Reference to Item, Mails
	that he may take needful
	action as proposed by him
	to avoid damage to Mails.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT.
7 JULY 1927
DEVELOPMENT BRANCH.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 2293—GPB—10,000—4-5-27

App. F. III—2]

PLEASE QUOTE
No. 3299
Enc.
in reply E4

TELEGRAPH :—HORTI.
TELEPHONE :—No. 15.

OFFICE OF THE ECONOMIC BOTANIST AND SUPERINTENDENT GOVT. GARDENS IN MYSORE, LAL-BAGH, BANGALORE.

All Communications to be addressed to the Superintendent.

MR. H. C. JAVARAYA, L.AG., F.L.S., F.R.H.S.,
Offg. *Economic Botanist and Supt., Govt. Gardens.*

GEN. SECY. TO GOVT. MYSORE
5 APR. 1927
DEVELOPMENT BRANCH.

Dated 5th April 1927.

G.G. 89-26-1

Dear Sir,

I have herewith enclosed a copy of a note on the fruitless attempt made by this Department - for getting exemption for our imported plants from being fumigated at the ports on their arrival, which has been sent to Sir Charles Todhunter, K. C. S. I., Private Secretary to His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore as desired by him, when he was here last. -- I request you to kindly reopen the question and - arrange to get the exemption from the Government of India.

Yours sincerely,

H. C. Javaraya
4.4.27

N. RAMA RAO, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to Government
Of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
Developments Departments,
Bangalore.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 2293—GPB—10,000—4-5-27

A note on the fruitless attempt made by the Government - Gardens Department in the matter of obtaining exemption for imported plants from being fumigated at the ports on their arrival.

T. O. No. 344 dated 20-9-21.

(1) In 1921 the Chief Secretary to Government of Mysore was addressed in the matter of - the fumigation of imported plants at the ports informing him that two large consignments, one from Belgium consisting of valuable flowering shurbs valued at Rs. 1,500/- and the other from Japan valued at Rs. 150/- arrived completely - dead. He was also informed of the fact of our having a well equipped Fumigatorium in the - Government Botanic Gardens at Lal-Bagh where - all incoming and out-going plants are fumigated with Hydrocynic gas. (The Imperial Entomologist also inspected this Fumigatorium and noted our system of fumigation.) The Chief Secretary was requested that the Government of India be - addressed in the matter of exempting consignments addressed to the Superintendent Government Gardens, from being fumigated at the ports giving assurance that fumigation will be done efficiently and under technical direction immediately on arrival at - Bangalore.

. 8981-G.M.51-21-2 dated 12-11-21.

(2) In reply to the above letter the Chief Secretary to Government called for a copy of the Rules on which application for exemption was based.

T. O. No. 502 dated 24-11-21

(3) A copy of the note on plant imports into India, issued by the Agricultural Research Institute, Pusa, was sent, pointing out that the Sugarcane Expert of the Madras Department of Agriculture was exempted from the Regul

rules and regreted their inability to grant permission to import plants into Bangalore without being fumigated in Bombay.

T. O. No. 1004 dated 1-4-22.

(8) The Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore was then addressed in the matter sending copies of letters received from Quetta and Bombay, and he was requested to refer the matter to the Government of India, and ask for exemption under para 3 of the Post Act on condition that - the imported plants are fumigated on arrival at Bangalore.

M. 5942, G. M.51-21-7 dated 25- 6- 22.

(9) The Chief Secretary replied that the Government of India were unable to grant the - exemption. They further stated that the only exemption from the prohibitions contained in - Rule 3 of the Destructive Insects and Pests Act 1914 which has been granted, was in favour of the Government Sugarcane Expert at Coimbatore in respect of canes for planting, to be grown under his personal supervision. They also - pointed out that it was a mistake to think that an exemption had been made in favour of the - Madras Department of Agriculture as stated by us and that the position in regard to this matter was that the import of coffee plants and seeds into India from America was entirely prohibited except by the Madras Department of Agriculture, but that this did not mean that such consignments imported by that Department were exempt from fumigation at the prescribed ports of entry.

They added that the specific compaint regarding the death of certain plants alleged to be due to fumigation and bad repacking had been reported to the Government of Madras and Bombay who had been asked to bring it to the notice of their Customs authorities. The Madras Government had accordingly issued instructions to their Customs to see that more effective precautions are taken in handling - plants during fumigation and repacking them - before despatch to their destination.

He therefore requested that in the event of similar occurances in future an immediate report might be made to enable the Government to address the Customs authorities at the ports of entry to take action against the --- persons at fault.

V.C. Jawaraya
3.0.3.27

Offg. Superintendent,
Government Gardens.

VR.

APP. F. VI. Gl.]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section G.G.

File No. 89-26

Serial No. 2

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

D.O. No. D-9783

To

The Supdt. G.G.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

Dear Sir,

Date of issue 7/5/27

Signed by Mr V.V.

With reference to your letter No. 3299 dated the 5th April 1927 forwarding copy a note presented by yr to Sir Charles Todhunter re exemption from fumigation of imported plants at the port of Calcutta, and suggesting that the question may be reopened, I am desired to state that it is quite probable that the plants mentioned by you must have suffered from

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

over

rough handling before and
after fumigation. I am to
enquire whether this difficulty of this kind
cannot be overcome in the case of large
consignments
by sending
some officer or official
to
be present at the ports
to examine the plants, so that they are
not mishandled at the port of entry

I have &c

WP 5/5
for Secy

Bg 2.5.27

App. F. III—21

8

PLEASE QUOTE
S. R. No. 3487
Enc. .....
in reply

TELEGRAPH :—HORTI.
TELEPHONE :—No. 15.

OFFICE OF THE ECONOMIC BOTANIST AND SUPERINTENDENT GOVT. GARDENS IN MYSORE, LAL-BAGH, BANGALORE.

All Communications to be addressed to the Superintendent.

MR. H. C. JAVARAYA, L.AG., F.L.S., F.R.H.S.,
Offg. *Economic Botanist and Supt., Govt. Gardens.*

Dated 18th May 1927.

7862

G. G. 89-26-3

Dear Sir,

In acknowledging the receipt of your D. O. lette No. D. 9783/ G. G. 89-26-2 dated 7th instant, regarding the question of fumigation of plants at the ports of entr into India, I am to state, that it is quite possible that the plants suffered, at least partly, from rough handling and bad repacking at the ports. The damages to the ~~plan~~ plants caused by the delay and bad handling at the ports may be considerably mitigated by sending some Officer or Official from here to receive the plants at the ports & despatch them to Bangalore.

Yours sincerely,
H. C. Javaraya
16.5.27

V. Vedavyasachar, Esq., B.A.,
Assistant Secretary,
Mysore Economic Conference,
Bangalore.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPB—5,000—30-3-27

9

APP. F. VI. Gl.]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 192

Date of issue 1.2.37

Signed by W Wilcox

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

For notes

Section G.G.

File No. 89-26

Seria No. 4

No. D. 17 & 1/2/37

To The Supdt. G.G.

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter no S.R.36 879 18 5/2/37 stating that damage to imported plants can be considerably mitigated by sending some official of your department to receive the plants at the port of entry into India, for despatch to Bangalore, I am directed to state that you may take needful action accordingly whenever necessary, to avoid damage to plants ~~in transit~~.

WD 0562—GPB—31,000—17-8-25

15.2.37.

28/6 For